

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization  
International Bureau




(43) International Publication Date  
30 October 2003 (30.10.2003)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number  
**WO 03/090417 A1**

- (51) International Patent Classification<sup>7</sup>: **H04L 12/56**, (74) Agent: **RINUÿ SANTARELLI**; 14, avenue de la Grande-Armée, B.P. 237, F-75822 Paris Cedex 17 (FR).
- (21) International Application Number: **PCT/IB02/02306** (81) Designated States (*national*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, OM, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.
- (22) International Filing Date: **19 April 2002 (19.04.2002)**
- (25) Filing Language: **English**
- (26) Publication Language: **English**
- (71) Applicant (*for all designated States except US*): **TELEFONAKTIEBOLAGET L M ERICSSON [SE/SE]**; S-126 25 Stockholm (SE).
- (84) Designated States (*regional*): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).
- (72) Inventors; and
- (75) Inventors/Applicants (*for US only*): **LUDWIG, Reiner** [/]; Maubacher Str. 4, D-52393 Hürtgenwald (DE). **MEYER, Michael** [DE/DE]; Grossheidstr. 27, 52080 Aachen (DE). **NIEBERT, Norbert** [DE/DE]; Steppenbergrweg 83, 52074 Aachen (DE). **QUINET, Raphael** [BE/BE]; Vise-Voie, 66, B-4000 Liege (BE). **WAGER, Stefan** [DE/DE]; Möschepfad 12, 52134 Herzogenrath (DE). **HEGDAHL, Tormond** [NO/NO]; Moserabben 14, N-0752 Oslo (NO).
- Published:**  
— with international search report
- For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.*

(54) Title: METHOD AND DEVICES FOR ADAPTATIVE PROXYING OF FLOWS



(57) Abstract: This proxy for at least one end-to-end data flow in a network comprises an estimation unit, for estimating (40) the current minimum data load necessary to occupy the bandwidth available to the flow in the network. The estimation unit outputs a flow's pipe capacity estimation. This proxy also comprises a comparison unit, for comparing (42) the estimated pipe capacity with a predetermined capacity threshold, a decision unit, for deciding (44,46) to proxy the end-to-end flow if the estimated pipe capacity lies above the capacity threshold, and a routing unit, for routing the flow according to the decision.

WO 03/090417 A1

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

## METHOD AND DEVICES FOR ADAPTIVE PROXYING OF FLOWS

The present invention relates to a method and devices for adaptive proxying of flows.

5           The invention can be applied in the field of networks. It is described here, by way of non-limiting example, in its application to telecommunications networks.

10           As is well known by a person skilled in the art, in the field of telecommunications networks, a proxy, in its widest meaning, is a computer system whose function is to act on behalf of a user, i.e. as an intermediary, between end-points, e.g. clients and servers, in a network.

15           A series of links connecting two hosts is called a path. Communication between two processes at each end of a path is referred to as end-to-end communication. Such a process is generally called a network end-point. End-to-end communication is provided by network (e.g. IP, Internet Protocol), transport and (optionally) application layer protocols, which are so-called end-to-end protocols. The term "layer" is for example known from the 7-layer OSI model but is not restricted to this model here and can denote a layer or sublayer in any network with a layered protocol stack.

20           The term "flow" is used herein to refer to an end-to-end stream of packets identified by a source IP address, a destination IP address, source and destination port numbers and a protocol identifier.

25           An end-to-end protocol runs between two hosts if it is of the unicast type, or between a number of hosts if it is of the multicast or broadcast type, at the transport, session, or application layer. The end-to-end path of an end-to-end protocol, i.e. the series of links connecting the various hosts, often runs across multiple (sub-)networks. Some networks may exhibit characteristics such as high delays and/or high packet loss rates that adversely affect the performance that an end-to-end protocol may otherwise provide. Such  
30           networks are hereinafter referred to as "problematic" networks.

          A commonly used solution to this problem is the implementation of a proxy running either on one side, or on both sides of the problematic network,

so as to modify the behaviour of the end-to-end protocol in such a way that the above-mentioned adverse effects are mitigated or even eliminated.

A so-called "network-adaptive" proxy is any form of a transport, session, or application layer proxy for an end-to-end protocol (whether it is of the uni-, multi-, or broadcast type) that utilises explicit information from a problematic network to control the proxy in such a way as to improve end-to-end performance.

A typical example of a transport layer proxy is a TCP proxy that splits an end-to-end connection into two independent TCP connections. **Figure 1** shows a connection with two TCP proxies 10<sub>1</sub>, 11<sub>1</sub> that runs between a client 12 and a server 13 on both ends of the network. Therefore, the end-to-end connection is split into three independent TCP connections:

a first TCP connection through a network 14 in accordance with the Bluetooth or IrDa (Infrared Data association) standard, between the client 12 and a first proxy 11<sub>1</sub>, located e.g. in the user's mobile phone,

a second TCP connection through a radio access network 15, between the first proxy 11<sub>1</sub> and a second proxy 10<sub>1</sub>, located e.g. in a Gateway GPRS Support Node (GGSN) between the radio access network 15 and the Internet 16, and

a third TCP connection through the Internet 16, between the second proxy 10<sub>1</sub> and the server 13.

The proxies influence TCP's end-to-end congestion control behaviour in such a way as to be less impaired by large delays.

The proxies shown in Figure 1 are so-called "network-blind" proxies, i.e. they operate on a transport protocol in that all entities below the transport layer, such as lower layer protocols, network nodes, etc., are treated as a "black box", without taking account of any of the parameters of these entities. The proxy state 17<sub>1</sub> is therefore independent from these parameters.

On the other hand, **Figure 2** (in which the same reference signs as in Figure 1 are used for similar entities) illustrates network-adaptive proxies 10<sub>2</sub>, 11<sub>2</sub> because, for the same end-to-end connection between a client 12 and a server 13 and with the same locations of the proxies 10<sub>2</sub>, 11<sub>2</sub> as in Figure 1, the

proxies utilise explicit information about the problematic network from below the transport layer. As shown in Figure 2, there is signalling between the problematic network and the proxies, and the proxies receive for example local information 18 from the radio access network 15, which influences the proxy state 17<sub>2</sub>.

Since access to more information about a network's state usually allows a higher degree of optimisation, network-adaptive proxies are in general more effective in improving end-to-end performance.

Instances of what is defined here as a network-adaptive proxy are described in a White Paper by the company Packeteer, Inc., entitled "*Controlling TCP/IP Bandwidth*", updated November 1998, available at the Web address <http://www.packeteer.com>, and in a paper by H. Balakrishnan et al. entitled "*Explicit Loss Notification and Wireless Web Performance*", in Proc. IEEE Globecom, November 1998.

In these documents, specific items of local information that is known to the proxy are mentioned and are associated with specific actions performed on specific items of transport, session, or application layer state that a proxy maintains. However, other items of local information can be useful to a proxy and other actions associated with those other items of information are conceivable.

A proxy holds and maintains transport, session, or application layer state for each end-to-end connection that is proxied. Such state information may be described as a list of parameters, including e.g.:

- measured, approximated or explicitly provided round trip times,
- inter-ACK arrival times,
- flow control windows, such as the congestion or the advertised window,
- retransmission timers,
- a list of TCP connections that are currently established or in the process of being established where the TCP client may potentially be served by the proxy (i.e. the connection may be proxied).

It is impossible to list all relevant parameters that might be useful to be maintained in a proxy. For example, future protocols might use parameters that are unknown or unused today. Let  $S$  be the transport, session, or application layer state that is maintained by the proxy. A network-adaptive proxy uses  $S$  to influence the performance of the end-to-end connection.

Likewise, let  $N$  be the current state of the problematic network as experienced by a specific end-to-end connection.  $N$  may include the following parameters:

- the measured or assigned bit rate that is available to a particular connection within the problematic network,
- the delay that a particular connection experiences within the problematic network,
- the flow's pipe capacity, which is the minimum number of packets (i.e. the minimum load) a flow needs to have in flight to fully utilise its available bandwidth, and above which packets are queued in the network (the flow's pipe capacity can be approximated from the bit rate and the delay),
- the geographical location of the host that terminates a particular connection, such as the location of a mobile phone in a single cell, group of cells, or location area in a cellular network,
- the network load experienced in that part of the problematic network in which the host that terminates a particular connection is located.

The values of at least some of the above parameters may vary over time.

While a network-blind proxy has nothing but the state  $S$  defined above to influence the performance of the end-to-end connection, a network-adaptive proxy is capable of transforming the state  $S$  into a new state  $S^*$ , using the current state  $N$  of the problematic network and a set of functions  $F$ , with the result that  $S^*$  commonly improves end-to-end performance more than  $S$  does.

However, the shortcoming of the prior art network-adaptive proxies mentioned above is that they operate without taking account of the ratio

between the effort of proxying and the potential performance improvement resulting from the proxying.

In fact, proxying an end-to-end connection is not always required in the sense that the benefit, i.e. the potential performance improvement, is only  
5 marginal and thus, does not justify the effort of proxying.

For example, there is usually little margin for improving the throughput of a TCP connection that only has a small pipe capacity (for instance, 2-4 transport layer segments) and experiences no or only a low rate of non-congestion related packet losses (e.g. packet losses caused by  
10 transmission errors). By contrast, a TCP connection that has a higher pipe capacity and/or experiences a higher rate of non-congestion related packet losses offers more margin for improving its throughput.

The pipe capacity is related to the flow's round trip time. A flow's round trip time (RTT) is the time it takes to send a packet from one network end-point to the other, get it processed at the receiving end-point, and send  
15 another packet back to the end-point that sent the initial packet. A flow's RTT varies dynamically, depending on such factors as packet size (transmission delays), queuing delays experienced by the packets in the network, and processing required at the receiving end-point. The packets a network end-  
20 point sends within the flow's RTT is called a flight of packets or simply a flight. Those packets are also referred to as the packets a network end-point has "in flight". The number of packets a network end-point has in flight is called the flow's load.

Meanwhile, proxying every transport connection that runs across the  
25 problematic network might pose a high demand for computing resources, namely, processor, memory, port numbers, etc. on the platform that runs the proxy. This demand might make it impractical to operate a proxy, for either cost or technical reasons or both.

The present invention aims at overcoming the above-mentioned  
30 drawbacks.

To this end, according to a first aspect, the present invention provides a proxy for at least one end-to-end data flow in a network, remarkable in that it comprises:

an estimation unit, for estimating a current minimum data load  
5 necessary to occupy a bandwidth available to the flow in the network, the estimation unit outputting a flow's pipe capacity estimation;

a comparison unit, for comparing the estimated pipe capacity with a predetermined capacity threshold;

a decision unit, for deciding to proxy the flow if the estimated pipe  
10 capacity lies above the capacity threshold; and

a routing unit, for routing the flow according to the decision.

Thus, the present invention makes it possible to reduce the processing load of the host running the network-adaptive proxy, by only proxying those end-to-end connections for which a margin for performance  
15 improvement exists, while routing connections for which the effort of proxying is not justified by the potential benefit without proxying towards the end-points.

In this way, an optimised trade-off between processing load and an improved overall end-to-end performance of all flows can be achieved. The handling of the flow on the routing level avoids inter-layer processing for flows  
20 which are not proxied. The method is for example applicable in a mobile telephone network, e.g. a GSM or a UMTS network.

In a preferred embodiment, the routing unit is adapted to route from the network layer of the network to a higher protocol layer of the proxy, data that are to be transmitted through the end-to-end flow if the estimated pipe capacity  
25 lies above the capacity threshold.

This makes it possible to further process the data e.g. by applying to them a transcoding technique, by carrying out a flow splitting step or by using a packet caching technique. In the case of packet caching, all packets to be transmitted may be sent to the proxy in order to be available for further  
30 transmission on the flow's bottleneck link (i.e. the link on which the bandwidth available to the flow is the lowest of all links in the path) or for retransmission.

According to a particular feature, the capacity threshold depends on a processing load of the proxy, for example the capacity utilisation measured in terms of memory space, processing cycles, TCP port numbers or any combination thereof. The processing load may be the measured current  
5 processing load or a future processing load predicted by estimation.

Thus, adjusting the capacity threshold as a function of the processing load of the proxy makes it possible to enhance end-to-end performance by avoiding to pose too high demands on the platform that runs the proxy.

In a preferred embodiment, the proxy in accordance with the  
10 invention is network-adaptive in that the estimation unit is adapted to take into account local information received from the network and representing the state of the network.

In a preferred embodiment, the flow's pipe capacity estimation is based on the end-to-end worst-case round trip time and the bit rate available to  
15 the flow in the network. In this way, a simple estimation of the pipe capacity can be performed, even in case of varying conditions. An underestimation of the pipe capacity is avoided.

With the same object as above, the invention further provides a method for proxying at least one end-to-end data flow in a network, remarkable  
20 in that it comprises steps of:

estimating a current minimum data load necessary to occupy a bandwidth available to the flow in the network, so as to obtain a flow's pipe capacity estimation;

comparing the estimated pipe capacity with a predetermined capacity  
25 threshold;

deciding to proxy the flow if the estimated pipe capacity lies above the capacity threshold; and

routing the flow according to the decision.

Moreover, the invention is applicable to any IP router or host and is  
30 particularly suited for any IP router or host at a wireless link.



The particular features and advantages of the proxying method are similar to those of the proxy as succinctly described above and are therefore not repeated here.

According to a second aspect of the invention, the data flow may be  
5 transmitted between a server and a client via a node in the network.

In such a case, the routing succinctly described above may be performed in the node, so that the flow is either transmitted directly from the client to the server (or vice versa), without using the proxy, or redirected into the proxy for processing, as a function of the result of the decision step. The  
10 estimation, comparison and decision steps may also be performed in the node or in another entity of the network, the results being transmitted to the node for the routing in the latter case. The node may be run by the same platform as a proxy but may nevertheless be an entity physically or logically different from the proxy.

Thus, according to its second aspect, the present invention provides  
15 a node in a wireless network, remarkable in that it comprises a unit adapted to route an end-to-end flow from a sending entity to a receiving entity in the network, either directly, or via a proxy, as a function of:

a flow's pipe capacity estimation, resulting from an estimation of a  
20 current minimum data load necessary to occupy a bandwidth available to the flow in the network,

a comparison of the estimated pipe capacity with a predetermined capacity threshold, and

a decision to proxy the flow if the estimated pipe capacity lies above  
25 the capacity threshold.

In a preferred embodiment, the proxy is as succinctly described above and is comprised in the node.

In a wireless telecommunications network, the node can for instance be a mobile phone, a base transceiver station, an SGSN (Serving GPRS  
30 Support Node) or a GGSN.

According to its second aspect, the present invention also provides a proxying method as succinctly described above, wherein the flow is transmitted

between a sending entity and a receiving entity via a node in the network, the method being remarkable in that the routing is carried out in the node.

In this embodiment, if the estimated pipe capacity lies above the capacity threshold, the flow's data are routed from the network layer of the node to the network layer of the proxy and from there to a higher protocol layer of the proxy, processed in the higher layer of the proxy and sent on to the destination, e.g. directly or via said node.

This embodiment makes it possible to further reduce the processing load of the proxy and consequently to further enhance end-to-end performance. It is possible to use a standard proxy in this embodiment without the proposed routing functionality, the latter being implemented in the node. Furthermore, information easily available in the node can be used as input for the decision.

The present invention also provides a computer program product, loadable into a computer, comprising software code portions for implementing the steps of:

obtaining a flow's pipe capacity estimation, resulting from an estimation of a current minimum data load necessary to occupy a bandwidth available to the flow in the network,

performing a comparison of the estimated pipe capacity with a predetermined capacity threshold,

performing a decision to proxy the flow if the estimated pipe capacity lies above the capacity threshold, and

initiating a routing of the flow according to the decision, when the product is run on a computer.

The advantages of the computer program product are similar to those of the proxy as succinctly described above and are therefore not repeated here.

Other features and advantages of the present invention will appear upon reading the following detailed description of preferred embodiments, given by way of non-limiting examples.

The description refers to the accompanying drawings, in which:

- Figure 1, described above, illustrates schematically a telecommunications network using conventional "network-blind" proxies;

- Figure 2, described above, illustrates schematically a telecommunications network using conventional "network-adaptive" proxies;

5        - Figure 3 is a block diagram showing the construction of a proxy in accordance with the first aspect of the present invention, in a preferred embodiment;

10       - Figure 4 is a flow diagram illustrating the main steps of a method for proxying an end-to-end data flow according to the present invention, in a preferred embodiment;

- Figure 5 is a graph illustrating the routing of data into the application layer of a proxy in accordance with the present invention, in a preferred embodiment; and

15       - Figure 6 illustrates schematically the implementation of a proxying method according to the second aspect of the invention.

The proxy according to the invention is of the "network-adaptive" type, i.e. the proxy takes into account local information received from the problematic network and representing the state of the network, as shown in Figure 2 described previously.

20       In order to proxy only those end-to-end flows that have a sufficient margin for improving their performance, according to a preferred embodiment of the invention, the flow's current pipe capacity, that is to say, the current minimum data load necessary to occupy the bandwidth available to the flow in the network, is compared with a predetermined minimum value, referred to as a capacity threshold, and the flow is proxied if the current pipe capacity lies above  
25       that minimum value.

As shown in **Figure 3**, in a preferred embodiment, a proxy 30 in accordance with the first aspect of the present invention, for at least one end-to-end flow in a network, comprises an estimation unit 300, adapted to estimate  
30       the current minimum data load necessary to occupy the bandwidth available to the flow in the network. Thus, the estimation unit 300 outputs an estimation of the flow's current pipe capacity.

The output of the estimation unit 300 is connected to the input of a comparison unit 302, which is controlled by a predetermined capacity threshold and is adapted to compare the estimated flow's current pipe capacity supplied by the estimation unit 300 with the capacity threshold. The capacity threshold  
5 may be expressed for example in bytes or as a number of packets.

The capacity threshold may depend on the processing load of the proxy. For example, the higher the processing load, the greater the capacity threshold chosen.

The output of the comparison unit 302 is connected to a decision unit  
10 304, which is adapted to decide to proxy the end-to-end flow if the output of the comparison unit 302 indicates that the estimated pipe capacity is greater than the capacity threshold.

The output of the decision unit 304 is connected to the input of a routing unit 306, which is adapted to route the flow, either via the proxy, or  
15 without the proxy, as a function of the result of the decision output by the decision unit 304.

The operation of the proxy 30 is illustrated in **Figure 4**. A first step  
40 consists in estimating the flow's current pipe capacity. To this end, according to a preferred embodiment of the invention, the estimation unit 300  
20 first calculates the sum of (i) the fixed worst-case end-to-end RTT (Round Trip Time) excluding the link's RTT and (ii) the link's RTT.

The estimation unit 300 then calculates the product of the obtained sum and the link's bit rate, so as to obtain the pipe capacity.

As shown in Figure 4, the following step 42 is a test consisting in  
25 comparing the estimated flow's current pipe capacity with the capacity threshold. This comparison may be carried out either once at flow setup time, or periodically, or may be triggered by predetermined events, such as a change of the flow's pipe capacity that is signalled to the proxy from the problematic network. As a variant, the comparison step may be performed both at the time  
30 of setup of the flow and at regular time intervals, or both at the time of setup of the flow and when a change in the flow's pipe capacity is signalled by the network to the proxy.

In the case where the comparison step is performed at flow setup time, the following steps take place: after the proxy has detected that a new flow is setup, it queries the network about the bearer bit rate and the round trip time corresponding to the detected flow. For example, referring to the example  
5 illustrated in Figure 2, the proxy may query a node that has access to a mobile phone's PDP (Packet Data Protocol) context information. Given the information acquired about the bit rate and the delay, the proxy estimates the flow's pipe capacity as indicated above and compares it with a preconfigured minimum.

In the case where the comparison step is performed when a change  
10 in the flow's pipe capacity is signalled by the network to the proxy, the following steps take place: the proxy receives a signal from the network every time the characteristics of an already running bearer, such as the bit rate, change. The received signal conveys the bearer bit rate and the delay. The proxy then checks whether active flows exist that run across that bearer and that are not  
15 currently proxied. If such flows exist, given the information received about the bit rate and the round trip time, the proxy estimates the flow's pipe capacity as indicated above and compares it with a preconfigured minimum.

In the case where the comparison step is performed at regular time intervals, the following steps take place: at regular time intervals, for each flow  
20 that is active but not proxied, the proxy queries the network (e.g. a node that has access to a mobile phone's PDP context information) about the bearer bit rate and delay over which the flow runs. Given the information acquired about the bit rate and the delay, the proxy estimates the flow's pipe capacity as indicated above and compares it with a preconfigured minimum.

25 In all cases described above, if the estimated flow's pipe capacity is greater than the capacity threshold, as shown in Figure 4, the next step 44 consists in proxying the end-to-end flow. Otherwise, during the next step 46, the end-to-end flow is routed without proxying towards the destination.

Thus, as a result of the comparison step 42, it is decided at the  
30 network layer, e.g. an IP layer, whether the data packets of the flow are to be routed to the next hop (in case the flow is not proxied), or whether they are to be routed from the network layer into a higher protocol layer of the proxy (in

case the flow is proxied), such as the application layer, as illustrated in **Figure 5**.

Once the proxy has decided to proxy a flow, it is often advisable to leave the flow proxied until the lifetime of the flow ends, e.g. because it is required by the proxied protocol or to simplify the implementation. On the other hand, a proxy may decide to proxy a flow after that flow has begun, instead of proxying the flow from its start, for instance if the pipe capacity related to that flow increases during the lifetime of the flow.

According to the second aspect of the invention, the routing steps 44, 46 described above with reference to Figure 4, optionally also the estimation, comparison and decision steps 40, 42, may be performed by an entity physically or logically separate from the proxy. This is the case in the variant shown in **Figure 6**, wherein the data flows are exchanged by a client 60 and a server 62 via a node 64, such as a GGSN. The node 64 and a proxy 66 may be on the same platform although they are two separate entities.

In this variant, the routing decision is taken in the GGSN, either to send the flow directly from the sending entity (e.g. the client 60) to the receiving entity (e.g. the server 62), without using the proxy 66, or to redirect the flow to the proxy, as a function of the result of the decision step.

In case the GGSN 64 decides to send the flow to the proxy 66, the flow is then processed in a higher protocol layer of the proxy, such as the application layer, and is then sent back to the GGSN in order to be delivered to the receiving entity. In this variant, the decision of redirection of the flow and the processing of the flow are thus performed in different entities. It is also possible that the flow is sent on from proxy 66 directly towards the receiving entity, i.e. without passing again through node 64.

## CLAIMS

1. A proxy (30) for at least one end-to-end data flow in a network, characterised in that it comprises:

5           an estimation unit (300), for estimating a current minimum data load necessary to occupy a bandwidth available to said flow in said network, said estimation unit (300) outputting a flow's pipe capacity estimation;

          a comparison unit (302), for comparing said estimated pipe capacity with a predetermined capacity threshold;

10           a decision unit (304), for deciding to proxy said flow if said estimated pipe capacity lies above said capacity threshold; and

          a routing unit (306), for routing said flow according to the decision.

2. A proxy according to claim 1, characterised in that said routing unit (306) is adapted to route from the network layer of said network to a higher  
15   protocol layer of said proxy, data that are to be transmitted through said end-to-end flow if said estimated pipe capacity lies above said capacity threshold.

3. A proxy according to claim 1 or 2, characterised in that said capacity threshold depends on a processing load of said proxy.

4. A proxy according to claim 1, 2 or 3, characterised in that said  
20   estimation unit (300) is adapted to take into account local information received from said network and representing the state of said network.

5. A proxy according to any of the preceding claims, characterised in that said flow's pipe capacity estimation is based on the end-to-end worst-case round trip time and the bit rate available to said flow in said network.

25           6. A node in a wireless network, characterised in that it comprises a unit adapted to route an end-to-end flow from a sending entity to a receiving entity in said network, either directly, or via a proxy, as a function of:

          a flow's pipe capacity estimation, resulting from an estimation of a current minimum data load necessary to occupy a bandwidth available to said  
30   flow in said network,

          a comparison of said estimated pipe capacity with a predetermined capacity threshold, and

a decision to proxy said flow if said estimated pipe capacity lies above said capacity threshold.

7. A node according to claim 6, characterised in that it comprises a proxy (30) according to any of claims 1 to 5.

5           8. A method for proxying at least one end-to-end data flow in a network, characterised in that it comprises steps of:

          estimating (40) a current minimum data load necessary to occupy a bandwidth available to said flow in said network, so as to obtain a flow's pipe capacity estimation;

10           comparing (42) said estimated pipe capacity with a predetermined capacity threshold;

          deciding (44, 46) to proxy said flow if said estimated pipe capacity lies above said capacity threshold; and

          routing said flow according to the decision.

15           9. A method according to claim 8, characterised in that said end-to-end flow is routed from the network layer of said network to a higher protocol layer if said estimated pipe capacity lies above said capacity threshold.

          10. A method according to claim 8 or 9, characterised in that said capacity threshold depends on a processing load involved in proxying.

20           11. A method according to claim 8, 9 or 10, characterised in that said estimation step (40) comprises a step of taking into account local information received from said network and representing the state of said network.

          12. A method according to any of claims 8 to 11, characterised in that said flow's pipe capacity estimation is based on the end-to-end worst-case  
25           round trip time and the bit rate available to said flow in said network.

          13. A method according to any of claims 8 to 12, wherein said flow is transmitted between a sending entity and a receiving entity via a node in said network, said method being characterised in that said routing step is carried out in said node.

30           14. A method according to claim 13, characterised in that the flow is routed from the node to a proxy, processed in said proxy and sent towards the receiving entity.



15. A computer program product, loadable into a computer, characterised in that it comprises software code portions for implementing the steps of:

5 obtaining a flow's pipe capacity estimation, resulting from an estimation of a current minimum data load necessary to occupy a bandwidth available to said flow in said network,

performing a comparison of said estimated pipe capacity with a predetermined capacity threshold,

10 performing a decision to proxy said flow if said estimated pipe capacity lies above said capacity threshold, and

initiating a routing of said flow according to the decision, when the product is run on a computer.

1/3




FIG. 1




FIG. 2

2/3




FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

PCT/JP, 02/02306

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER  
 IPC 7 H04L12/56 H04L29/06

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 H04L

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

INSPEC, WPI Data, EPO-Internal

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	<p>SPATSCHECK O ET AL: "Optimizing TCP forwarder performance"          IEEE/ACM TRANSACTIONS ON NETWORKING, APRIL 2000, IEEE;ACM, USA,          vol. 8, no. 2, pages 146-157, XP002224927          ISSN: 1063-6692          Chapter III</p> <p style="text-align: center;">---</p> <p style="text-align: center;">-/--</p>	1-15

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☐ Patent family members are listed in annex.

## \* Special categories of cited documents:

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  
 "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date  
 "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  
 "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means  
 "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention  
 "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone  
 "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art  
 "&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

13 December 2002

Date of mailing of the international search report

20. 01. 2003

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
 Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,  
 Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

ANDERS EDLUND/JA A

PCT/IB 02/02306

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	<p>ZHE XIANG ET AL: "Cost-based replacement policy for multimedia proxy across wireless Internet"            GLOBECOM'01. IEEE GLOBAL TELECOMMUNICATIONS CONFERENCE (CAT. NO.01CH37270), GLOBECOM '01. IEEE GLOBAL TELECOMMUNICATIONS CONFERENCE, SAN ANTONIO, TX, USA, 25-29 NOV. 2001, pages 2009-2013 vol.3, XP002224928            2001, Piscataway, NJ, USA, IEEE, USA            ISBN: 0-7803-7206-9            the whole document</p> <p style="text-align: center;">---</p>	1-15
A	<p>HARI BALAKRISHNAN AND RANDY H. KATZ,            COMPUTER SCIENCE DIVISION, DEPARTMENT OF EECS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA AT BERKELY:            "Explicit Loss Notification and Wireless Web Performance"            TO APPEAR, PROC. IEEE GLOBECOM INTERNET MINI-CONFERENCE, SYDNEY, AUSTRALIA, [Online] November 1998 (1998-11), XP002224929            Retrieved from the Internet:            &lt;URL:http://nms.lcs.mit.edu/papers/glabeco m98/&gt; [retrieved on 2002-12-13]            page 1 -page 11</p> <p style="text-align: center;">-----</p>	1-15

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning  
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

**BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ BLACK BORDERS
- ☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- ☒ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☒ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- ☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
- ☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
- ☒ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
- ☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
- ☐ OTHER: \_\_\_\_\_

**IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

**As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.**